

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/632,086	08/01/2003	Rick Kiessig	25396-006	3391	
7590 05/31/2007 Rick A. Toering			EXAMINER		
Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris Glovsky and Popeo PC			LEWIS, CHERYL RENEA		
Suite 900 12010 Sunset Hills Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Reston, VA 20	190		2167		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/31/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/632,086	KIESSIG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Cheryl Lewis	2167				
The MAIL Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED WHICHEVER IS - Extensions of time mater SIX (6) MONTH If NO period for reply - Failure to reply within Any reply received by	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D by be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 from the mailing date of this communication. Is specified above, the maximum statutory period the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the Office later than three months after the mailin dijustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMM 36(a). In no event, however, m will apply and will expire SIX (6 e, cause the application to beco	UNICATION. hay a reply be timely filed ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this or me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a) ☐ This action 3) ☐ Since this a	e to communication(s) filed on $09  \text{$\mathbb{N}$}$ is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This application is in condition for allowal accordance with the practice under $\mathbb{N}$	action is non-final. nce except for formal	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	e merits is			
Disposition of Clain	าร						
4a) Of the a 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1. 7) ☐ Claim(s) _	2,5-26 and 28-32 is/are pending in above claim(s) is/are withdra is/are allowed.  2,5-26 and 28-32 is/are rejected.  is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration					
Application Papers	•						
10)⊡ The drawing Applicant m Replacemen	cation is objected to by the Examine g(s) filed on is/are: a) accept ay not request that any objection to the outdrawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objecte drawing(s) be held in at tion is required if the dra	peyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
	son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Pape 5) 🔲 Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application r:				

Application/Control Number: 10/632,086 Page 2

Art Unit: 2167

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This Office Action is in response to the applicants' communication received on March 9, 2007.

- 2. Claims 1, 2, 5-26, and 28-32 are presented for examination.
- 3. The applicants have amended claims 1 and 35 in the amendment received on March 9, 2007. Claims 3, 4, and 27 has been cancelled.
- 4. Applicants' arguments with respect to claims 1-32 and 34-70 have been considered but are deemed to be moot in view of the new grounds of rejection.

## Remarks

5. The Examiner extends her appreciation to the applicants' representative in amending the limitations of claims 1, 2, 5-26, and 28-32. In an earlier conversation, the Examiner stated that the claims would be considered for allowance. However, upon closer examination of the newly amended claims, the claims cannot be allowed at this time because of the newly discovered references presented in the (rejection) Office Action below.

At this point, the Examiner kindly requests that the applicants take these references into consideration with respect to the claim limitations of claims 1, 2, 5-26, and 28-32.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,086 Page 3

Art Unit: 2167

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 1, 2, 5-26, and 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Delaire et al. (Patent No. 6,952,698 B2 filed October 5, 2001, hereinafter Delaire) and McCotter et al. (Patent No. 6,401,097 B1 filed January 22, 1999, hereinafter McCotter).
- 8. Regarding Claims 1 and 26, Delaire teaches a storage area network methods and apparatus for automated file system extension.

The method and associated system for a storage area network methods and apparatus for automated file system extension as taught of suggested by Delaire includes:

a volume manager (Abstract, line 7, col. 73, lines 28-33) configured to manage the electronic files (col. 54, line 43) on the volume and to manage the electronic files on the volume (col. 73, lines 28-33); a coherency manager module coupled to the volume manager (figure 5, 'Manager Framework') and configured to manage at least one of a relationship of the selected file (col. 57, lines 52-65).

However, Delaire does not expressly teach a workflow module to perform eventdriven action corresponding to a trigger, trigger events to change to content of selected Art Unit: 2167

file and and a file operation; and event-driven action of metadata relating to electronic files.

McCotter teaches a workflow module to perform event-driven action corresponding to a trigger, trigger events to change to content of selected file and and a file operation; and event-driven action of metadata relating to electronic files.

(col. 3, lines 8-22 and 50-65, col. 4, lines 1-3 and 7-24).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the electronic files of Delaire's method with the electronic files of McCotter's method because McCotter's electronic files could enable the electronic files of Delaire to comprise a function to search the metadata related electronic files, wherein in searching the metadata related electronic files provides a profile among the files, in which the profile allows the files to be searched according to name, author, date, project id and status.

9. Regarding Claims 2, 5-25, and 28-32, respectively, the limitations of these claims have been noted in the rejection of the claims presented above. These claims have been re-worded, however, the re-wording of these claim limitations of claims 8-35 are also presented in the above rejections. They are therefore rejected as set forth above.

#### NAME OF CONTACT

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cheryl Lewis whose telephone number is (571) 272-4113. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/632,086 Page 5

Art Unit: 2167

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Cottingham can be reached on (571) 272-7079. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

(571) 273-4113 (Use this FAX #, only after approval by Examiner, for "INFORMAL" or "DRAFT" communication. Examiners may request that a formal paper/amendment be faxed directly to them on occasions.).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist/ Technology Center (571) 272-2100.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/<u>Cheryl Lewis/</u> Patent Examiner, A.U. 2167 May 22, 2007